Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	arv	10/811,745	STROMQUIST, MARTY L.
	u, y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Daniel P. Stephenson	3672
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>a</u>	<u>bandoned</u>
(1) <u>Daniel P. Stephenson</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Robert Kent</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 December 2006		Time: <u>9:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/	Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/811,745

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was related to the applicant that it had been 6 months since the mailing of the last office action, and an inquiry was made as to whather any response had been filed. The applicant stated that no response was forthcoming and that the case was being abandoned.